1 2	Margaret T. McCarthy, #020882 RENAUD COOK DRURY MESAROS, PA One North Central, Suite 900	
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4417 (602) 307-9900	
	E-mail: mmccarthy@rcdmlaw.com	
4	Attorneys for Defendants KV	
5	Pharmaceutical Company and ETHEX Corporation	
6	IN THE UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE STATE	E OF ARIZONA
8	Michael VanSchaik, Individually and as	CIVIL ACTION NO.
9	Surviving Spouse and Representative of the Estate of Carolyn Moria Van Schaik;	ervib heriotito.
10	Patrick Patenge, son of Carolyn Moira; Andrew Patenge, son of Carolyn Moira,	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
11	Plaintiffs,	
12	v.	By Defendants KV PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY
13	Target Stores, Inc., a Minnesota	AND ETHEX CORPORATION
14	corporation dba Target Pharmacy #1959;	
15	Ethex Corporation, a Missouri corporation; K-V Pharmaceutical Company, a Delaware	
16	corporation; Julie Cole, an Arizona resident; Tina Do-Tringh; John Does 1-5;	Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2009-012125
17	Jane Does 1-5; Corporations A-Z,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
	In accordance with the provisions of 18	BU.S.C. §1441 et seq., KV Pharmaceutical
20	Company and ETHEX Corporation hereby rer	move the above-captioned lawsuit from the
21	Superior Court of Arizona, in and for the Court	nty of Maricopa, in which it is currently
22	-	•
23	pending, to the United States District Court fo	r the District of Arizona. As grounds for
24	the removal of this case, KV Pharmaceutical C	Company and ETHEX Corporation state as
25	follows:	
LAW OFFICES		
RENAUD COOK DRURY MESAROS ONE NORTH CENTRAL		
SUITE 900 PHOENIX, AZ 85004 TELEPHONE (602) 307-9900 FACSIMIE (602) 307-5853	(Page 1)	

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23 24

25

26 LAW OFFICES

RENAUD COOK DRURY MESAROS PHOENIX, AZ 85004 THEPHONE (602) 307-9900

ACSIMILE (602) 307-5853

1. On April 15, 2009, an action was commenced in the Superior Court of the
State of Arizona, in and for the County of Maricopa, entitled Michael Vanschaik,
individually and as surviving spouse and representative of the estate of Carolyn Moria
Van Schaik; Patrick Patenge, son of Carolyn Moria; Andrew Patenge, son of Carolyn
Moira, Plaintiffs, v. Target Stores, Inc., a Minnesota corporation dba Target Pharmacy
#1959; Ethex Corporation, a Missouri corporation; K-V Pharmaceutical Company, a
Delaware corporation; Julie Cole, an Arizona resident; Tina Do-Tringh; John Does 1-5;
Jane Does 105; Corporations A-Z;, Defendants, as Case No. CV2009-012125. Pursuant
to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), a copy of the Complaint and Summons is attached as Exhibit
"A."

- The Complaint and Summons were served on KV Pharmaceutical 2. Company¹ on April 27, 2009.
- ETHEX Corporation and KV Pharmaceutical Company have not filed any 3. pleadings or papers in the State Court Action, and the time during which ETHEX Corporation and KV Pharmaceutical Company is required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(b) to file this notice of removal has not yet expired.
- The action is a civil action that falls under this Court's original jurisdiction 4. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (diversity citizenship) and is one which may be removed to this Court by defendants pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441(b) and (c).

- 5. Plaintiffs and all proper defendants are, and at all material times were, citizens of different states.
- 6. The three named plaintiffs, Michael Vanschaik, Patrick Patenge, and Andrew Patenge, are alleged to be Arizona residents (Complaint, pages 1-2).
- 7. Defendant Target Stores is alleged to be a Minnesota corporation (Complaint, page 2).
- 8. Defendant ETHEX Corporation is a foreign corporation, incorporated in the State of Missouri, with its principal office and residence in Missouri.
- 9. Defendant KV Pharmaceutical Company is a foreign corporation, incorporated in the State of Delaware, with its principal office and residence in Missouri.
- 10. The residence of all other defendants is irrelevant to diversity jurisdiction for reasons noted below. Consequently, this Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 11. It is well established that "fraudulently joined defendants will not defeat removal on diversity grounds." *Ritchey v. Upjohn Drug Co.*, 139 F.3d 1313, 1318 (9th Cir. 1998) [citing *Emrich v. Touche Ross and Co.*, 846 F.2d 1190, 1193 & n.1 (9th Cir. 1988), and *McCabe v. General Foods Corp.*, 811 F.2d 1336 (9th Cir. 1987)]. "If the plaintiff fails to state a cause of action against a resident defendant, and the failure is obvious according to the settled rules of the state, the joinder of the resident defendant is

(Page 3)

¹ KV Pharmaceutical Company has been misnamed in Plaintiffs' Complaint as

fraudulent." *McCabe*, 811 F.2d at 1339. Additionally, "[t]he defendant seeking removal to the federal court is entitled to present the facts showing the joinder to be fraudulent." Id., at 1339, see also, Wilson v. Republic Iron & Steel Co., 257 U.S. 92 (1921).

- 12. Defendants Julie Cole and Tina Do-Tringh are "provider" defendants and are alleged to be residents of Arizona (Complaint, page 2). Assuming defendant Cole and Do-Tringh are Arizona residents, they have been fraudulently joined because plaintiffs have failed to state a cause of action against them and that failure is clear under applicable law.
- 13. The gravamen of the Complaint is that the defendants manufactured, labeled and sold an unidentified medication to Carolyn Moira Van Schaik causing her death (Complaint, pages 2-3).
- Count I of plaintiffs' Complaint is for strict products liability. Count I is 14. pled as to all defendants except "provider" defendants Cole and Do-Tringh (Complaint, page 3).
- Count II of plaintiffs' Complaint is for negligence. Count II is pled against 15. all named defendants including the "provider" defendants Cole and Do-Tringh (Complaint, page 4). Plaintiffs allege that the defendants "had a duty to Plaintiffs to exercise reasonable and ordinary care in the design, formulation, testing, manufacture, inspection, sale and dispensing of the medicine, and in issuing proper warnings and instructions including timely and effective recalls" (emphasis added).

LAW OFFICES RENAUD COOK

25

26

(Page 4)

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

(Page 5)

26 LAW OFFICES

RENAUD COOK DRURY MESAROS ONL NORTH CENTRAL
SLITT 900
PROFIN, AZ 85004
TELEPHONE (602) 307-9900
FACSIMILE (602) 307-5853

16. In Arizona, a medical malpractice action or cause of action for medical
malpractice means "an action for injury or death against a licensed health care provider
based upon such provider's alleged negligence, misconduct, errors or omissions, or
breach of contract in the rendering of health care, medical services, nursing services or
other health-related services or for the rendering of such health care, medical services,
nursing services or other health-related services, without express or implied consent
including an action based upon the alleged negligence, misconduct, errors or omissions or
breach of contract in collecting, processing or distributing whole human blood, blood
components, plasma, blood fractions or blood derivatives." A.R.S. § 12-561.

- 17. The necessary elements of proof that injury resulted from a health care provider to follow the accepted standard of care are:
 - 1. The health care provider failed to exercise that degree of care. skill and learning expected of a reasonable, prudent health care provider in the profession or class to which he belongs within the state acting in the same or similar circumstances.
 - Such failure was a proximate cause of the injury. A.R.S. § 12-563.
- 18. The Arizona citizenship of the "provider" defendants Cole and Do-Tringh should be disregarded for purposes of determining whether this Court has diversity jurisdiction on the ground that plaintiffs have failed to plead the negligence of the "provider" defendants as required under A.R.S. § 12-563.
- 19. The "provider" defendants are believed to be pharmacists who dispensed the unidentified medication to the decedent. Arizona courts have held that pharmacists are professionals in the health care area and are subject to a higher standard of care.

1

8 9

10

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 LAWOREIGES RENAUD COOK DRURY MESAROS

ACSIMILE (602) 307-5853

Lasley v. Shrake's Country Club Pharmacy, 179 Ariz. 583, 586-87, 880 P.2d 1129, 1132-33 (Ariz. Ct. App. 1994).

- 20. Plaintiffs have failed to plead the medical negligence standard required of pharmacists in the State of Arizona. Consequently, plaintiffs' failure to state a cause of action against the "provider" defendants makes the joinder of those defendants fraudulent. See McCabe, 811 F.2d at 1339.
- 21. Plaintiffs have also failed to comply with A.R.S. § 12-2603(A) which require plaintiffs to certify in writing at the time of filing their Complaint whether or not expert opinion testimony is necessary to prove the health care provider's standard of care or liability for the claim.
- 22. Count III of plaintiffs' Complaint is for conscious misrepresentation/ concealment involving risk of physical harm under Restatement (2nd) of Torts §310. Count III is not pled against the "provider" defendants Cole and Do-Tringh (Complaint, page 5).
- 23. Count IV of plaintiffs' Complaint is for negligent misrepresentation/ concealment involving risk of physical harm under Restatement (2nd) of Torts §311. Count IV is pled against all defendants including the "provider" defendants Cole and Do-Tringh (Complaint, page 6). This is not a valid claim against the "provider" defendants because it is in the nature of the types of claims that should be brought under A.R.S. § 12-563.

RENAUD COOK

IAW OFFICES
RENAUD COOK
DRURY MESAROS
ONE NORTH CENTRAL
STITE 9000
PHOENIX AZ 850004
DELEPHONE (6023 307-9900)
FEWSINGT (6023 307-9900)

	24.	Count V of plaintiffs' Complaint is for consumer fraud. Count V is pled as
to all	defenda	ants except the "provider" defendants Cole and Do-Tringh (Complaint, page
7).		

- 25. Count VI of plaintiffs' Complaint is for implied warranty. Count VI is not pled against the "provider" defendants Cole and Do-Tringh (Complaint, page 8).
- 26. Count VII of plaintiffs' Complaint for negligent supervision and training.

 Count VII is not pled against the "provider" defendants Cole and Do-Tringh (Complaint, page 9).
- 27. The citizenship of any fictitious defendants named in plaintiffs' Complaint must also be disregarded for purposes of determining removal jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) provides as follows:

Except as otherwise expressly provided by Act of Congress, any civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where such action is pending. For purposes of removal under this chapter, the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded. (emphasis added).

28. The amount in controversy herein clearly exceeds \$75,000 given the nature of the allegations of injury, which include allegations for the wrongful death of Carolyn Van Schaik (Complaint, page 3). Plaintiffs are claiming as damages general damages; special damages; loss of past earnings, loss of future income, and diminished earning capacity; damages for a substantial decrease in the qualify of life and a loss of the ability to engage in the pursuit of various pleasurable activities; the value of past and future

1	gratuitous services; the loss of consortium, love, affection, care, comfort, companionship
2	and society; and punitive damages (Complaint, pages 9-10).
3	29. Venue of this removal action is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1442(a)
4 5	because this Court is the United States District Court for the district and division
6	embracing the place were the state court action was pending.
7	30. Defendants Target Stores, Inc. dba Target Pharmacy #1959, Julie Cole and
8	Tina Do-Tringh consent to this Notice of Removal. Their consent is evidenced by their
9 10	respective consents to Notice of Removal which are attached collectively as Exhibit "B."
11	31. WHEREFORE, defendants KV Pharmaceutical Company and ETHEX
12	Corporation hereby remove to this Court Maricopa County Superior Court Case No.
13	CV2009-012125.
14 15	32. A copy of this notice has been filed with the State Court Clerk per Rule
16	2.23.
17	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th day of May, 2009.
18	RESI ECTFOLL F SOBMITTED this day of May, 2009. RENAUD COOK DRURY MESAROS, PA
19	/
2021	By Whou two d
22	By What was a second of the By Margaret T. McCarthy One North Central, Suite 900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4417
23	Attorneys for Defendants KV Pharmaceutical Company and ETHEX Corporation
24	Corporation
2526	

(Page 8)

52189

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 27 day of May, 2009, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the CM/ECF registrants.

Copy also mailed this same date to:

Mark R. Gilling, Esq. SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. 3rd Street, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012

By Cricky Bours

26

LAW OFFICES

RENAUD COOK

DRURY MESAROS

OS NORTH CENTRAL
SETH 900

PROSENT, AZ 85004

HEPPROSE (602) 307-9900

FACINITY (602) 307-5883

EXHIBIT "A"

INDEX TO EXHIBIT "A"

- 1. Maricopa County Superior Court Case No.: CV2009-012125 Docket
- 2. Demand for Jury Trial
- 3. Certificate of Compulsory Arbitration
- 4. Complaint
- 5. Summons (Target Stores, Inc.)
- 6. Summons (KV Pharmaceutical)
- 7. Summons (ETHEX Corporation)
- 8. Summons (Julie Cole)
- 9. Summons (Tina Do-Tringh)
- 10. Affidavit of Service (Target Stores, Inc.)
- 11. Service of Process Transmittal (KV Pharmaceutical)
- 12. Service of Process Transmittal (ETHEX Corporation)
- 13. Affidavit of Service (Julie Cole)
- 14. Affidavit of Service (Tina Do-Tringh)

The Judicial Branch of Arizona, Maricopa County

Docket > Civil Court Cases

Civil Court Case Information - Case History

Case Information

Case

CV2009-012125 Judge: Heilman, Joseph

Number:

File Date: 4/15/2009L ocation: Downtown

Case

Civil

Type:

Party Information

Party Name	Relationship	Sex	Attorney
Michael Vanschaik	Plaintiff	Male	MARK GILLING
Patrick Patenge	Plaintiff	Male	MARK GILLING
Andrew Patenge	Plaintiff	Male	MARK GILLING
Target Stores Inc	Defendant		Pro Per
Ethex Corporation	Defendant		Pro Per
K-V Pharmaceutical Company	Defendant		Pro Per
Julie Cole	Defendant	Female	Pro Per
Tina Do-Tringh	Defendant	Female	Pro Per

Case Documents

Filing Date	Description	Docket Date	Filing Party
4/30/2009	AFS - Affidavit Of Service	5/7/2009	
NOTE: JULIE	COLE SERVED 4/23/09		
4/30/2009	AFS - Affidavit Of Service	5/7/2009	
NOTE: TINA	DO-TRINGH SERVED 4/24/09		
4/30/2009	SUM - Summons	5/4/2009	
4/30/2009	SUM - Summons	5/4/2009	
4/30/2009	AFM - Affidavit of Service Registerd/Certified Mail	5/8/2009	
NOTE: ETHE	EX CORPORATION SERVED 04/27/09	A Mark Company of the Company of	
4/30/2009	AFM - Affidavit of Service Registerd/Certified Mail	5/8/2009	and the second of the second o
NOTE: K-V	PHARMACEUTICAL CO SERVED 04/29/09		
4/27/2009	AFS - Affidavit Of Service	4/29/2009	

NOTE: TARGET STORES INC SERVED 04/23/09

4/27/2009	SUM - Summons	4/30/2009
4/15/2009	COM - Complaint	4/17/2009
4/15/2009	CCN - Cert Arbitration - Not Subject	4/17/2009
4/15/2009	NJT - Not Demand For Jury Trials	4/17/2009

Case Calendar

There are no calendar events on file

Judgments

There are no judgments on file

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mark R. Gilling (015078) SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. 3rd Street, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (T) (602) 532-5600; (F) (602) 532-5700



John C. Giles (011863) Law Office of John C Giles, PC 238 West Second Street Mesa, Arizona 85201 (T) 480-964-3505 (F) 480-964-0059

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

MICHAEL VANSCHAIK, Individually and as Surviving Spouse and Representative of the Estate of Carolyn Moira Van Schaik; PATRICK PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira; ANDREW PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira,

Plaintiffs,

Defendants,

v.

TARGET STORES, INC., a Minnesota corporation dba TARGET PHARMACY #1959; ETHEX CORPORATION, a Missouri corporation; K-V PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; JULIE COLE, an Arizona resident; TINA DO-TRINGH; JOHN DOES 1-5; JANE DOES 1-5; CORPORATIONS A-Z;

Case No.: CV 2009 - 012125

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs, Michael Vanschaik, Patrick Patenge, and Andrew Patenge, through counsel undersigned, hereby demands a trial by jury in the above-captioned mattered, of all issues so triable all in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15th day of April, 2009.

SANDERS, & PARKS, P.C.

Ву

Mark R. Gilling

3030 North Third Street, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3099

John C. Giles

Law Office of John C Giles, PC

238 West Second Street

Mesa, Arizona 85201

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Mark R. Gilling (015078) 1 SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. 3rd Street, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (T) (602) 532-5600; (F) (602) 532-5700 3 John C. Giles (011863) 4 Law Office of John C Giles, PC 238 West Second Street 5 Mesa, Arizona 85201 (T) 480-964-3505 (F) 480-964-0059 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 9 Case No.: CV2009-012125 MICHAEL VANSCHAIK, Individually and as Surviving Spouse and Representative of the 10 CERTIFICATE OF Estate of Carolyn Moira Van Schaik; PATRICK **COMPULSORY ARBITRATION** PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira; ANDREW 11 PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira, 12 Plaintiffs, 13 14 TARGET STORES, INC., a Minnesota corporation dba TARGET PHARMACY 15 #1959; ETHEX CORPORATION, a Missouri corporation; K-V PHARMACEUTICAL 16 COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; JULIE COLE, an Arizona resident; TINA DO-17 TRINGH; JOHN DOES 1-5; JANE DOES 1-5; CORPORATIONS A-Z; 18 Defendants, 19 Plaintiffs, Michael Vanschaik, Patrick Patenge, and Andrew Patenge, through 20 counsel undersigned, certify that this matter exceeds the jurisdictional requirement and is 21

not subject to compulsory arbitration.

21

22

SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. THIRD STREET, #1300 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012 (602) 523-5600 1

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15 day of April, 2009.

SANDERS & PARKS, P.C.

By_

Mark R. Gilling

3030 North Third Street, Suite 1300

Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3099

AND

John C. Giles

Law Office of John C Giles, PC

238 West Second Street

Mesa, Arizona 85201

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

3

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mark R. Gilling (015078) SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. 3rd Street, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (T) (602) 532-5600; (F) (602) 532-5700 John C. Giles (011863)

Law Office of John C Giles, PC 238 West Second Street Mesa, Arizona 85201 (T) 480-964-3505 (F) 480-964-0059

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

MICHAEL VANSCHAIK, Individually and as Surviving Spouse and Representative of the Estate of Carolyn Moira Van Schaik; PATRICK PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira; ANDREW PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira,

Plaintiffs,

TARGET STORES, INC., a Minnesota corporation dba TARGET PHARMACY #1959; ETHEX CORPORATION, a Missouri corporation; K-V PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; JULIE COLE, an Arizona resident; TINA DO-TRINGH; JOHN DOES 1-5; JANE DOES 1-5; CORPORATIONS A-Z;

Defendants,

Case No.: CV 2009-012125 **COMPLAINT**

(Wrongful death, Strict Products Liability, Negligence, Restatement (Second) of Torts Section 310, Restatement (Second) of Torts Section 311, Consumer Fraud, Implied Warranty and Negligent Supervision/Training)

Plaintiffs, by and through counsel undersigned, and for their COMPLAINT against Defendants, allege as follows:

PARTIES AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff Michael Van Schaik is an Arizona resident living in Maricopa County, Arizona.
 - 2. Plaintiff Patrick Patenge is an Arizona resident living in Maricopa County.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 3. Plaintiff Andrew Patenge is an Arizona resident living in Maricopa County.
- 4. Defendant Target Stores, Inc. (hereinafter "Target"), is a Minnesota corporation doing business in Arizona as Target Pharmacy #1959 located at 5110 S. Power Road in Mesa, Arizona.
- 5. Defendant K-V Pharmaceutical Company is a Delaware corporation doing business in Arizona.
- 6. Defendant Ethex Corporation is a Missouri corporation doing business in Arizona.
- 7. Defendants JULIE COLE and TINA DO-TRINGH are believed to be Arizona residents who worked for Target Stores, Inc. in Maricopa County and who, upon information and belief, reside in Maricopa County, Arizona. Their actions as alleged herein were done in the course and scope of their employment and/or agency with Target Stores, Inc., dba Target Pharmacy #1959 and/or their employers Corporations G-H.
- 8. Defendants John Does 1-5, Jane Does 1-5 and Corporations A-Z are individuals or corporations who engaged in activity in Arizona out of which this Complaint arises.
- 9. Defendants caused events to occur in Maricopa County, Arizona which are the subject of this Complaint and which satisfy the monetary jurisdiction of this Court, therefore, jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Defendants Ethex Corporation, K-V Pharmaceutical Company and Corporations A-C manufactured, labeled and sold into the stream of commerce, including Arizona, certain medication by and through Defendant Target Stores, Inc. dba Target Pharmacy #1959 and/or Corporations D-F, John Does 1-3 and Jane Does 1-3 which in turn was dispensed to Carolyn Van Schaik. The medicine was dispensed and sold by Defendants Julie Cole and/or Tina Do-Tringh or under their supervision and direction.
- Carolyn Van Schaik took the medication according to the prescription and instructions provided by said foregoing Defendants.

12. Unbeknownst to Carolyn Van Schaik and Plaintiffs, the medication was
mislabeled and as a result of taking the mislabeled medicine Carolyn Van Schaik died
leaving her surviving husband, Plaintiff Michael Van Schaik, and children, Plaintiffs
Patrick Patenge and Andrew Patenge. Pursuant to Arizona's Wrongful Death statute,
Plaintiffs assert the claims set forth herein for the wrongful death of Carolyn Van Schaik.

13. Subsequent to manufacturing, labeling and selling the medicine, and subsequent to Carolyn Van Schaik taking the medicine and dying from it, Defendants issued a recall notice notifying Carolyn Van Schaik that the medicine was being recalled due to the fact that Defendants knew it to have been mislabeled and oversized because it contained twice the dosage of drugs.

COUNT I

(STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY – ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT JULIE COLE, TINA DO-TRINGH, JOHN DOES 1-3 and JANE DOES 1-3)

- 14. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior and foregoing allegations in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 15. The medicine manufactured, labeled and sold by the above mentioned Defendants, and the warnings and instructions given by them, were defective and unreasonably dangerous in design and manufacture, and in warnings/instructions, for its intended and foreseeable use at the time it left the Defendants, and as a proximately result of said defects Carolyn Van Schaik died and Plaintiffs suffered damages.
- 16. Defendants are engaged in the business of manufacturing and/or selling tires, and manufactured, sold or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce the tire that failed in this accident.
 - 17. Defendants are strictly liable to Plaintiffs in tort.
- 18. Plaintiffs were relying on the skill and judgment of Defendants to ensure that the medicine would be suitable for the purposes for which it was being used.
- 19. Defendants understand that using the recalled medicine as intended would result in injury or death.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

20. Pla	intiffs are informed and believe that Defendants knew or should have
known about the	defects alleged in this Complaint and that injury and deaths could occur
or were conscio	usly indifferent to their substantial likelihood. Defendants acted with
willful, wanton,	reckless and malicious behavior and/or a conscious disregard of the
substantial risk t	nat such conduct might catastrophically injure or kill someone.
21. Pla	intiffs are accordingly entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

COUNT II

(NEGLIGENCE - ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 22. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior allegations in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- All Defendants were negligent in the manufacture, inspection, distribution, 23. sale and dispensing of the medicine, in the failure to properly warn and instruct, and in the giving of improper warnings and instructions.
- 24. Defendants had a duty to Plaintiffs to exercise reasonable and ordinary care in the design, formulation, testing, manufacture, inspection, sale and dispensing of the medicine, and in issuing proper warnings and instructions including timely and effective recalls.
- Defendants breached these duties to Plaintiffs with respect to the subject 25. medicine which contain twice the labeled dosage, and which foreseeably and proximately led to the death of Carolyn Van Schaik.
- Defendants further breached its duty to Plaintiffs by failing to promptly recall the medicine or take other appropriate remedial action.
- 27. Defendants Ethex and K-V Pharmaceutical knew or should have known that the medicine was unreasonably dangerous and posed a risk of death in that said Defendants knew that the manufacture of the medicine was not done in accordance with good practices, industry standards or custom and have admitted same in a consent order with the FDA.
 - As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, Carolyn 28.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Van Schaik died and Plaintiffs suffered damages.

- 29. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendants knew or should have known about the negligence alleged in this Complaint and that life-threatening accidents such as that which occurred would result. Nonetheless, Defendants did not take measures to remedy its negligence, nor timely and effectively warn the public or consumers like Plaintiffs about its negligence and the risks that it posed. Defendants acted with a willful, wanton, reckless, and malicious behavior and/or a conscious disregard of the substantial risk that such conduct might threaten the life, or seriously injure the physical health, welfare, and safety of consumers like Plaintiffs.
 - 30. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

COUNT III

(RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS SECTION 310 – CONSCIOUS MISREPRESENTATION/CONCEALMENT INVOLVING RISK OF PHYSICAL HARM - ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT JULIE COLE AND TINA DO-TRINGH)

- 31. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior allegations in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 32. Defendants engaged in a course of advertising, marketing, instructing and promoting the medicine that contained express and implied misstatements of material fact concerning the character, quality and safety of this product including that it was safe to use as directed when in fact the medicine contained double the dosage of the drug and would be harmful or fatal if taken as directed.
- 33. Defendants Ethex and K-V Pharmaceutical further concealed their knowledge of the misstatements of material fact concerning the character, quality and safety of the medicine known to them to exist as a result of their knowing failure to follow good practice, custom and industry standard in the manufacture of the medicine.
- Defendants' false statements/concealment were made to others, including but not limited to Julie Cole, Tina Do-Tringh, Target Stores, Inc. and others employed at the Target Pharmacy #1959, other pharmacies and consumers, and did not purport to

merely reflect their opinions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Defendants knowingly, recklessly or negligently made the aforementioned false statements/concealments.
- Defendants intended to induce those persons to whom it made the false 36. representations/concealments to rely on them, which they reasonably did to the detriment of Plaintiffs.
- As a direct and proximate result of these false statements/concealments 37. made to others, and their reasonable reliance upon them, Plaintiffs suffered the injuries and damages described above.
- Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendants knew or should have 38. known about the falsity of their statements and that life-threatening injuries, such as the death of Carolyn Van Schaik, could occur if said statements were relied upon, and further acted with fraudulent intent. Such acts and/or omissions constitute a willful, wanton, reckless, and malicious behavior and/or a conscious disregard of the substantial risk that such conduct might threaten the life or seriously injure the physical health, welfare, and safety of the public and consumers like Plaintiffs.
 - Plaintiffs are accordingly entitled to punitive damages against Defendants. 39.

COUNT IV

(RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS, SECTION 311—NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION/CONCEALMENT INVOLVING RISK OF PHYSICAL HARM—ALL DEFENDANTS)

- Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior allegations in this 40. Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants negligently and/or unreasonably failed to truthfully report, warn 41. or instruct on the dangerous nature of the medicine by virtue of failing to make reasonable inquiry as to the character, suitability, safety, identify, size and labeling of the medicine before misrepresenting it by concealing that it contained twice the dosage of drug.
 - Defendants thus negligently and/or unreasonably failed to communicate the 42.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

dangerous propensities of the medicine and misrepresented its quality and character to Plaintiffs, the public, other employees of Target Stores, Inc. and other pharmacies and also the FDA.

- 43. The misrepresentations/concealments directly and proximately led to the sale and use by Carolyn Van Schaik and her resulting death as well as the reliance by others on that the medicine was safe if used as directed and instructed.
- 44. Defendants intended that Plaintiffs, the public, FDA, pharmacies including Target Stores, Inc. dba Target Pharmacy #1959 and others rely upon the false representations/concealments in order to induce the sale of said medicine and its use by Carolyn Van Schaik, which intent had its intended effect as the foregoing reasonably relied upon the misrepresentations/concealments. Defendant had information in its possession, or available to it had it acted reasonably, in order to truthfully convey that the medicine was dangerous and could result death or serious injury.
- 45. Defendants' negligent misrepresentation/concealment directly and proximately led to and caused Plaintiffs' damages as described herein.
- 46. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendants knew or should have known about the falsity of their statements/concealments, and further acted with malice or reckless disregard for the substantial likelihood that catastrophic injuries would result from their conduct, which in fact occurred with Plaintiffs. Such acts and/or omissions constitute a willful, wanton, reckless, and malicious behavior and/or a conscious disregard of the substantial risk that such conduct might threaten the life or seriously injure the physical health, welfare, and safety of the public and consumers like Plaintiffs.
 - Plaintiffs are accordingly entitled to punitive damages against Defendants. 47.

COUNT V

(CONSUMER FRAUD—ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT JULIE COLE AND TINA DO-TRINGH)

48. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior allegations in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

-7-

3		
224420		
Ŋ		
S S		

	49.	Defendants engaged in the use of a deception, deceptive act or practice,
fraud,	false	pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, or concealment, suppression or
omissio	on of	a material fact in the advertisement and sale of merchandise (the medicine)
as desc	ribed	above including misrepresenting the dosage and also that it was safe for its
intende	ed use	as instructed and further in its labeling, warnings and instructions.

- 50. Defendants intended consumers including Carolyn Van Schaik and Plaintiffs to rely upon their deception as described above.
- 51. Carolyn Van Schaik died and Plaintiffs were damaged as a proximate result of Defendants' deceptive acts and practices as described above.
- 52. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendants knew or should have known about their deception as described above, and further acted with malice or reckless disregard for the substantial likelihood that catastrophic injuries would result from their conduct, which in fact occurred with Plaintiffs. Such acts and/or omissions constitute a willful, wanton, reckless, and malicious behavior and/or a conscious disregard of the substantial risk that such conduct might threaten the life or seriously injure the physical health, welfare, and safety of the public and consumers like Plaintiffs.
 - 53. Plaintiffs are accordingly entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

COUNT VI

(IMPLIED WARRANTY—ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT JULIE COLE AND TINA DO-TRINGH)

- 54. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior allegations in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 55. Defendants impliedly warranted that the medicine was of the correct dosage and was safe and merchantable if used as instructed, labeled and warned.
- 56. In fact the medicine was not merchantable and not safe for use as instructed, labeled, warned and thus warranted.
- 57. Defendants consequently breached the implied warranty provided with the sale of the medicine.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

58. As a consequence of the breach, Carolyn Van Schaik died and Plaintiffs suffered damages.

COUNT VII

(NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION AND TRAINING—DEFENDANTS TARGET STORES, INC., dba TARGET PHARMACY #1959, CORPORATIONS G-M, JOHN DOES 1-5 and JANE DOES 1-5)

- Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior allegations in this 59. Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants had a duty to properly supervise and train their employees 60. and/or agents, including Julie Cole and Tina Do-Tringh, in the dispensing, sale, inspection and warnings of medicine sold by them including the medicine sold by Julie Cole and/or Tina Do-Tringh at Target Pharmacy #1959 to Carolyn Van Schaik to ensure that it was the correct size, dosage and contained proper warnings and instructions.
- Defendants breached their duty of training and supervision in that the medicine that was sold at Target Pharmacy #1949 by Julie Cole and/or Tina Do-Tringh was double the dosage, posed a serious risk of death, and further contained erroneous instructions and warnings such that when taken as instructed it led to Carolyn Van Schaik's death insofar as it contained double the dosage of drugs.
- Defendants' breach of the duty of training and supervision proximately 62. caused Carolyn Van Schaik's death and Plaintiffs' damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for a judgment against Defendants in an amount determined by a jury to be sufficient to compensate Plaintiffs for the damages they have suffered and will suffer in the future as a result of Defendants' conduct, including but not limited to:

- General damages to compensate Plaintiffs for the catastrophic injuries 1. and emotional and physical pain they have suffered and will continue to suffer;
- Special damages for medical, funeral and health care expenses that the 2. Plaintiffs have incurred and will continue to incur in the future;

3	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	The second name of
20	i
21	
22	

2

3.	Loss of past earnings,	loss	of future	income,	and	diminished	earning
	canacity:						

- 4. Damages for a substantial decrease in the quality of life and a loss of the ability to engage in the pursuit of various pleasurable activities;
- 5. The value of past and future gratuitous services provided by Plaintiffs' family and friends to the extent that such gratuitous services were made necessary by the Defendants' tortious conduct which led to Plaintiffs' damages;
- 6. Damages to Plaintiffs resulting from the loss of consortium, love, affection, care, comfort, companionship and society of their family as a result of the catastrophic injuries and deaths;
- 7. For other general and special damages available under law;
- 8. For punitive damages against Defendants to punish it and deter them from engaging in like conduct in the future;
- 9. For Plaintiffs' cost of suit;
- 10. For Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees as allowed by law; and
- 11. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15 day of April, 2009.

SANDERS & PARKS, P.C.

-By_

Mark R. Gilling

3030 North Third Street, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3099

AND

AND

John C. Giles Law Office of John C Giles, PC

238 West Second Street Mesa, Arizona 85201

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SANDERS & PARKS, P.C.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear and defend, within the time applicable, in this action in this Court. If served within Arizona, you shall appear and defend within twenty (20) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If served out of the State of Arizona, whether by direct service, by registered or certified mail, or by publication, you shall appear and defend within thirty (30) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you is complete, exclusive of the day of service. Where process is served upon the Arizona Director of Insurance as an insurer's attorney to receive service of legal process against it in this state, the insurer shall not be required to appear, answer or plead until expiration of forty (40) days after date of such service upon the Director. Service by registered or certified mail without the State of Arizona is complete thirty (30) days after the date of filing the Receipt and Affidavit of Service with the Court.

Service by Publication is complete thirty (30) days after the date of first publication. Direct Service is complete when made. Service upon the Arizona Motor Vehicle Superintendent is complete thirty (30) days after filing the Affidavit of Compliance and return receipt or Officer's Return. RCP 4; ARS §§ 20-222, 28-502, 28-503.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that, in case of your failure to appear and defend within the time applicable, judgment, by default, may be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

YOU ARE CAUTIONED that, in order to appear and defend, you must file an Answer or proper response in writing with the Clerk of this Court, accompanied by the necessary filing fee, within the time required, and you are required to serve a copy of any Answer or response upon the Plaintiff's attorney. RCP 10(d); ARS § 12-311; RCP 5.

The name and address of Plaintiffs' attorneys are:

Mark R. Gilling, Esq.

SANDERS & PARKS, P.C.

3030 North Third Street

Suite 1300

Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3099

(602) 532-5600

John C. Giles, Esq.
Law Office of John C Giles, PC
238 West Second Street
Mesa, Arizona 85201
(480) 964-3505

REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES MUST BE MADE TO THE DIVISION ASSIGNED TO THE CASE BY PARTIES AT LEAST 3 JUDICIAL DAYS IN ADVANCE OF A SCHEDULED COURT PROCEEDING.

SIGNED AND SEALED this date: ___

Ву



3030 N. THIRD STREET, #1300

SANDERS & PARKS, P.C.

-3-

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear and defend, within the time applicable, in this action in this Court. If served within Arizona, you shall appear and defend within twenty (20) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If served out of the State of Arizona, whether by direct service, by registered or certified mail, or by publication, you shall appear and defend within thirty (30) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you is complete, exclusive of the day of service. Where process is served upon the Arizona Director of Insurance as an insurer's attorney to receive service of legal process against it in this state, the insurer shall not be required to appear, answer or plead until expiration of forty (40) days after date of such service upon the Director. Service by registered or certified mail without the State of Arizona is complete thirty (30) days after the date of filing the Receipt and Affidavit of Service with the Court.

Service by Publication is complete thirty (30) days after the date of first publication. Direct Service is complete when made. Service upon the Arizona Motor Vehicle Superintendent is complete thirty (30) days after filing the Affidavit of Compliance and return receipt or Officer's Return. RCP 4; ARS §§ 20-222, 28-502, 28-503.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that, in case of your failure to appear and defend within the time applicable, judgment, by default, may be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

YOU ARE CAUTIONED that, in order to appear and defend, you must file an Answer or proper response in writing with the Clerk of this Court, accompanied by the necessary filing fee, within the time required, and you are required to serve a copy of any Answer or response upon the Plaintiff's attorney. RCP 10(d); ARS § 12-311; RCP 5.

The name and address of Plaintiffs' attorneys are:

Mark R. Gilling, Esq. SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 North Third Street Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3099 (602) 532-5600

John C. Giles, Esq. Law Office of John C Giles, PC 238 West Second Street Mesa, Arizona 85201 (480) 964-3505

		\mathcal{F}_{i}							
	1								
300 3 2		REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PERSONS							
	2	WITH DISABILITIES MUST BE MADE TO THE DIVISION ASSIGNED TO THE CASE BY PARTIES AT LEAST 3 JUDICIAL DAYS IN ADVANCE OF A							
	3	SCHEDULED COURT PROCEEDING.							
	4	SIGNED AND SEALED this date:							
	5	CLERK OF THE COURT							
	6	COPY							
	7	ByAPR 1 5 2009							
	8	Deputy Clerk							
		OBPUT OLEHK							
	9								
0 - =	10								
RS & P, HRD ST (, ARIZC 2) 523	11								
	12								
SANDEI 30 N. Ti PHOEND (60	13								
308 F	14								
	15								
	16								
	17								
	18								
	19								
	ł								
	20								
	21								

Mark R. Gilling (015078) SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. 3rd Street, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (T) (602) 532-5600; (F) (602) 532-5700 3 John C. Giles (011863) Law Office of John C Giles, PC 238 West Second Street 5 Mesa, Arizona 85201 (T) 480-964-3505 (F) 480-964-0059 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No.: CV 2009-012125 9 3030 N. THIRD STREET, #1300 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012 (602) 523-5600 MICHAEL VANSCHAIK, Individually and as Surviving Spouse and Representative of the 10 **SUMMONS** Estate of Carolyn Moira Van Schaik; PATRICK PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira; ANDREW 11 PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira, IF YOU WANT THE ADVICE OF A LAWYER, YOU MAY WISH TO CONTACT 12 Plaintiffs, THE LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE AT 602-257-4434 OF ON-LINE AT 13 WWW.LAWYEREINDERS.ORG. LRS IS SPONSORED BY THE MAR'COPA COUNTY BAP ASSOCIATION 14 TARGET STORES, INC., a Minnesota corporation dba TARGET PHARMACY 15 #1959; ETHEX CORPORATION, a Missouri corporation; K-V PHARMACEUTICAL 16 COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; JULIE COLE, an Arizona resident; TINA DO-17 TRINGH; JOHN DOES 1-5; JANE DOES 1-5; CORPORATIONS A-Z; 18 Defendants, 19 THE STATE OF ARIZONA TO THE DEFENDANT: 20 ETHEX CORPORATION 21 22

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear and defend, within the time applicable, in this action in this Court. If served within Arizona, you shall appear and defend within twenty (20) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If served out of the State of Arizona, whether by direct service, by registered or certified mail, or by publication, you shall appear and defend within thirty (30) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you is complete, exclusive of the day of service. Where process is served upon the Arizona Director of Insurance as an insurer's attorney to receive service of legal process against it in this state, the insurer shall not be required to appear, answer or plead until expiration of forty (40) days after date of such service upon the Director. Service by registered or certified mail without the State of Arizona is complete thirty (30) days after the date of filing the Receipt and Affidavit of Service with the Court.

Service by Publication is complete thirty (30) days after the date of first publication. Direct Service is complete when made. Service upon the Arizona Motor Vehicle Superintendent is complete thirty (30) days after filing the Affidavit of Compliance and return receipt or Officer's Return. RCP 4; ARS §§ 20-222, 28-502, 28-503.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that, in case of your failure to appear and defend within the time applicable, judgment, by default, may be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

YOU ARE CAUTIONED that, in order to appear and defend, you must file an Answer or proper response in writing with the Clerk of this Court, accompanied by the necessary filing fee, within the time required, and you are required to serve a copy of any Answer or response upon the Plaintiff's attorney. RCP 10(d); ARS § 12-311; RCP 5.

The name and address of Plaintiffs' attorneys are:

Mark R. Gilling, Esq. SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 North Third Street **Suite 1300** Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3099 (602) 532-5600

John C. Giles, Esq. Law Office of John C Giles, PC 238 West Second Street Mesa, Arizona 85201 (480) 964-3505

REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES MUST BE MADE TO THE DIVISION ASSIGNED TO THE CASE BY PARTIES AT LEAST 3 JUDICIAL DAYS IN ADVANCE OF A SCHEDULED COURT PROCEEDING. SIGNED AND SEALED this date: CLERK OF THE COURT SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. THIRD STREET, #1300 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012 (602) 523-5600

Deputy Clerk

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

٧.

MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK RECEIVED CCC #8 DOCUMENT DEPOSITORY

09 APR 30 PM 35 08

Mark R. Gilling (015078) SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. 3rd Street, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (T) (602) 532-5600; (F) (602) 532-5700

BY K Former, DEP

John C. Giles (011863)

Law Office of John C Giles, PC
238 West Second Street
Mesa, Arizona 85201
(T) 480-964-3505 (F) 480-964-0059

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

MICHAEL VANSCHAIK, Individually and as Surviving Spouse and Representative of the Estate of Carolyn Moira Van Schaik; PATRICK PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira; ANDREW PATENGE, son of Carolyn Moira,

Plaintiffs,

TARGET STORES, INC., a Minnesota corporation dba TARGET PHARMACY #1959; ETHEX CORPORATION, a Missouri corporation; K-V PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; JULIE COLE, an Arizona resident; TINA DOTRINGH; JOHN DOES 1-5; JANE DOES 1-5; CORPORATIONS A-Z;

Defendants,

Case No.:CV 2009-012125 SUMMONS

IF YOU WANT THE ADVICE OF A LAWYER, YOU MAY WISH TO CONTACT THE LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE AT 602-257-4434 OR ON-LINE AT WWW.LAWYERFINDERS.ORG. LRS IS SPONSORED BY THE MARICOPA COUNTY DAR ASSOCIATION

THE STATE OF ARIZONA TO THE DEFENDANT:

JULIE COLE

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear and defend, within the time applicable, in this action in this Court. If served within Arizona, you shall appear and defend within twenty (20) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If served out of the State of Arizona, whether by direct service, by registered or certified mail, or by publication, you shall appear and defend within thirty (30) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you is complete, exclusive of the day of service. Where process is served upon the Arizona Director of Insurance as an insurer's attorney to receive service of legal process against it in this state, the insurer shall not be required to appear, answer or plead until expiration of forty (40) days after date of such service upon the Director. Service by registered or certified mail without the State of Arizona is complete thirty (30) days after the date of filing the Receipt and Affidavit of Service with the Court.

Service by Publication is complete thirty (30) days after the date of first publication. Direct Service is complete when made. Service upon the Arizona Motor Vehicle Superintendent is complete thirty (30) days after filing the Affidavit of Compliance and return receipt or Officer's Return. RCP 4; ARS §§ 20-222, 28-502, 28-503.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that, in case of your failure to appear and defend within the time applicable, judgment, by default, may be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

YOU ARE CAUTIONED that, in order to appear and defend, you must file an Answer or proper response in writing with the Clerk of this Court, accompanied by the necessary filing fee, within the time required, and you are required to serve a copy of any Answer or response upon the Plaintiff's attorney. RCP 10(d); ARS § 12-311; RCP 5.

The name and address of Plaintiffs' attorneys are:

Mark R. Gilling, Esq. SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 North Third Street **Suite 1300** Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3099 (602) 532-5600

John C. Giles, Esq. Law Office of John C Giles, PC 238 West Second Street Mesa, Arizona 85201 (480) 964-3505

SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 N. THIRD STREET, #1300

REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES MUST BE MADE TO THE DIVISION ASSIGNED TO THE CASE BY PARTIES AT LEAST 3 JUDICIAL DAYS IN ADVANCE OF A SCHEDULED COURT PROCEEDING.

> CLERK OF THE COURT MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK

> > Deputy Clerk



-3-

21

22

THE STATE OF ARIZONA TO THE DEFENDANT:

TINA DO-TRINGH

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear and defend, within the time applicable, in this action in this Court. If served within Arizona, you shall appear and defend within twenty (20) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If served out of the State of Arizona, whether by direct service, by registered or certified mail, or by publication, you shall appear and defend within thirty (30) days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you is complete, exclusive of the day of service. Where process is served upon the Arizona Director of Insurance as an insurer's attorney to receive service of legal process against it in this state, the insurer shall not be required to appear, answer or plead until expiration of forty (40) days after date of such service upon the Director. Service by registered or certified mail without the State of Arizona is complete thirty (30) days after the date of filing the Receipt and Affidavit of Service with the Court.

Service by Publication is complete thirty (30) days after the date of first publication. Direct Service is complete when made. Service upon the Arizona Motor Vehicle Superintendent is complete thirty (30) days after filing the Affidavit of Compliance and return receipt or Officer's Return. RCP 4; ARS §§ 20-222, 28-502, 28-503.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that, in case of your failure to appear and defend within the time applicable, judgment, by default, may be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

YOU ARE CAUTIONED that, in order to appear and defend, you must file an Answer or proper response in writing with the Clerk of this Court, accompanied by the necessary filing fee, within the time required, and you are required to serve a copy of any Answer or response upon the Plaintiff's attorney. RCP 10(d); ARS § 12-311; RCP 5.

The name and address of Plaintiffs' attorneys are:

Mark R. Gilling, Esq. SANDERS & PARKS, P.C. 3030 North Third Street **Suite 1300** Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3099 (602) 532-5600

John C. Giles, Esq. Law Office of John C Giles, PC 238 West Second Street Mesa, Arizona 85201 (480) 964-3505

REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES MUST BE MADE TO THE DIVISION ASSIGNED TO THE CASE BY PARTIES AT LEAST 3 JUDICIAL DAYS IN ADVANCE OF A APR 1 5 2009 SCHEDULED COURT PROCEEDING.

SIGNED AND SEALED this date: ___

CLERK OF THE COURT



MICHAEL & JEANES, CLERK RECEIVED CCC #7 DOCUMENT DEPOSITORY

09 APR 27 PH 3: 04

HAWKINS and E-Z MESSENGER 1209 E. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85034 (602) 258-8081 FAX: (602) 258-8864

IN THE ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA CLIENT FILE NO. 73510-001

DEP.

MICHAEL VANSCHAIK

VS

TARGET STORES, INC.

CASE NO. CV2009-012125

STATE OF ARIZONA

MARICOPA COUNTY

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

THE AFFIANT, being sworn, states: That I am a private process server registered in MARICOPA COUNTY and an Officer of the Court. On 04/22/09 I received the SUMMONS; COMPLAINT; CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

from SANDERS & PARKS and by MARK GILLING in each instance I personally served a copy of each document listed above upon: TARGET STORES, INC., A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, DBA TARGET PHARMACY #1959, BY SERVICE UPON ITS STATUTORY AGENT, C.T. CORPORATION SYSTEM on 04/23/09 at 8:35 am at 2394 E. CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, AZ 85016 MARICOPA COUNTY in the manner shown below:

by leaving true copy(ies) of the above documents with MOLLY MARTINEZ, PROCESS SPECIALIST, STATED AUTHORIZED TO ACCEPT.

Description: HISP, Female, Approx. 45 yrs. of age, 4' 6" tall, Weighing 130lbs., BROWN Hair,

> Affiant DON A. FOUTZ, ACPS Sworn to before me the Apr 24, 2009

> Kathy Hernandez Notary

My Commission expires: 06/01/2012

1576171 7787 02

ORIGINAL

OFFICIAL SEAL KATHY HERNANDEZ NOTARY PUBLIC-ARIZONA MARIGOPA COUNTY My Comm. Exp. June 1, 2012

SERVICE OF PROCESS \$ 16.00 \$ 16.00 MILES SERVICE CHARGE Ś 5.00 AFFIDAVIT PREP/NOTARY \$ 10.00 TOTAL S 47.00



Service of Process **Transmittal**

04/27/2009

CT Log Number 514775894

TO:

Robert M. Shaw

K-V Pharmaceutical Company 2503 South Hanley

Saint Louis, MO 63144

RE:

Process Served in Missouri

FOR:

K-V PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY (Domestic State: DE)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION:

Michael Vanschaik, Individually and as Surviving Spouse and Representative of the Estate of Carolyn Moira Van Schaik; Patrick Patenge, son of Carolyn Moira; Andrew

Patenge, son of Carolyn Moria, Pltfs.. vs. Target Stores, Inc., etc al., K-V

Pharmaceutical Company, etc., Dfts.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED:

Summons, Complaint, Certificate of Compulsory Arbitration, Demand for Jury Trial

COURT/AGENCY:

Superior Court in and for the County of Maricopa, AZ

Case # CV2009012125

NATURE OF ACTION:

Product Liability Litigation - Drug Litigation - Wrongful death - Medication was

mislabed

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED:

CT Corporation System, Clayton, MO

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE:

By Certified Mail on 04/27/2009 postmarked on 04/22/2009

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE:

Within 20 days after service, exclusive of date of service

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S):

Mark R. Gilling, Esq. Sanders & Parks, P.C. 3030 North Third Street Suite 1300 Phoenix, AZ 85012 602-532-5600

ACTION ITEMS:

SOP Papers with Transmittal, via Fed Ex 2 Day , 792149118660 Email Notification, Shelley Smugala ssmugala@kvph.com Email Notification, Robert M. Shaw rshaw@kvph.com

SIGNED: PER: ADDRESS: C T Corporation System Meghan Saffell 120 South Central Avenue

Suite 400

TELEPHONE:

Clayton, MO 63105 314-863-5545

Page 1 of 1 / BL

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.



Service of Process Transmittal

04/27/2009

CT Log Number 514775016

TO:

Robert M. Shaw

K-V Pharmaceutical Company 2503 South Hanley Saint Louis, MO 63144

RE:

Process Served in Missouri

FOR:

ETHEX CORPORATION (Domestic State: MO)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION:

Michael Vanschaik Individually and as Surviving Spouse and Representative of the Estate of Carolyn Moira Van schaik, et al., Pltfs. vs. Target Stores, Inc., etc., et al. including Ethex Corporation, etc., Dfts.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED:

Summons, Complaint, Certificate of Compulsory, Demand

COURT/AGENCY:

Superior Court County of Maricopa, AZ

Case # CV2009012125

NATURE OF ACTION:

Product Liability Litigation - Drug Litigation - Wrongful Death - as a result of

mislabled medication

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED:

C T Corporation System, Clayton, MO

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE:

By Certified Mail on 04/27/2009 postmarked on 04/22/2009

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE:

Within 30 days after service, exclusive of date of service

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S):

Mark R. Gilling Sanders & Parks, P.C. 3030 North Third Street

Suite 1300 Phoenix, AZ 85012-3099 602-532-5600

ACTION ITEMS:

SOP Papers with Transmittal, via Fed Ex 2 Day, 792149118660

Email Notification, Shelley Smugala ssmugala@kvph.com Email Notification, Robert M. Shaw rshaw@kvph.com

SIGNED: PER: ADDRESS: C T Corporation System Meghan Saffell 120 South Central Avenue

Suite 400

TELEPHONE:

Clayton, MO 63105 314-863-5545

Page 1 of 1 / EK

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.

MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK RECEIVED CCC #8 DOCUMENT DEPOSITORY

09 APR 30 PM 3 08

FILED

DEP

HAWKINS and E-Z MESSENGER 1209 E. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85034

(602) 258-8081 FAX: (602) 258-8864

CLIENT FILE NO. 73510-001

IN THE ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA

MICHAEL VANSCHAIK

VS

TARGET STORES, INC.

CASE NO. CV2009-012125

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF ARIZONA

PINAL COUNTY

THE AFFIANT, being sworn, states: That I am a private process server registered in PINAL COUNTY and an Officer of the Court. On 04/22/09 I received the SUMMONS; COMPLAINT; CERITIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

from SANDERS & PARKS and by MARK GILLING in each instance I personally served a copy of each document listed above upon: JULIE COLE, AN ARIZONA RESIDENT on 04/23/09 at 8:20 pm at 5110 S. POWER ROAD MESA, AZ 85212 MARICOPA COUNTY in the manner shown below:

in person.

Description: CAUC, Female, Approx. 50 yrs. of age, 5' 6" tall, Weighing 140lbs., BLUE Eyes, GREY Hair,

DEVON M. THOMAS

Sworn to before me the Apr 29,

2009

Hernandez

My Commission expires: 06/01/2012

SERVICE OF PROCESS \$ 16.00 60.00 MILES \$ SERVICE CHARGE 5.00 AFFIDAVIT PREP/NOTARY \$ 10.00

TOTAL S

91.00

1576178 7787 02 ORIGINAL

OFFICIAL SEAL KATHY HERNANDEZ NGTARY PUBLIC-ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Exp. June 1, 2012

MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK RECEIVED CCC #8 DOCUMENT DEPOSITORY

09 APR 30 PM 3 08 **FILED**

Edward, DEP

HAWKINS and E-Z MESSENGER 1209 E. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85034

(602) 258-8081 FAX: (602) 258-8864

IN THE ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA

MICHAEL VANSCHAIK

VS

TARGET STORES, INC.

CASE NO. CV2009-012125

CLIENT FILE NO. 73510-001

STATE OF ARIZONA PINAL COUNTY

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

THE AFFIANT, being sworn, states: That I am a private process server registered in PINAL COUNTY and an Officer of the Court. On 04/22/09 I received the SUMMONS; COMPLAINT; CERITIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

from SANDERS & PARKS and by MARK GILLING in each instance I personally served a copy of each document listed above upon: TINA DO-TRINGH on 04/24/09 at 3:35 pm at 5110 S. POWER ROAD MESA, AZ 85212 MARICOPA COUNTY in the manner shown below:

in person.

Description: ASIAN, Female, Approx. 35 yrs. of age, 5' 5" tall, Weighing 115lbs., BROWN Eyes, BROWN Hair,

THOMAS

Sworn to before me the Apr 29, 2009

Kathy Hernandez

SERVICE OF PROCESS \$ 16.00 MILES \$ 60.00 SERVICE CHARGE 5.00 AFFIDAVIT PREP/NOTARY \$ 10.00 TOTAL \$ 91.00 My Commission expires:

1576180 7787 02 ORIGINAL

06/01/2012

OFFICIAL SEAL KATHY HERNANDEZ NOTARY PUBLIC-ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Exp. June 1, 2012